

## **Cultural Heritage Research Project Brief Internal Projects**

### **Background**

Cultural Heritage has been a matter included in the SmartGrowth Strategy since its inception in 2004.

There is a specific cultural heritage section in SG (chapter 7). There are 3 main actions and 9 sub-actions. The 3 main actions are:

- Develop a sub-regional cultural heritage strategy and implementation plan with sub-regional components that address the management and monitoring of built, archaeological and cultural heritage values.
- Use the Regional Policy Statement (RPS), Regional and District Plans, Conservation and Reserve Management Plans, Covenants, heritage protection orders, financial and other incentives and establishment of heritage trust funds to protect outstanding or significant sites.
- Support establishment of a network of parks, including land for protection of cultural heritage.

Significant progress has been made in these areas however there are questions over whether these approaches have made an actual difference in light of the high priority given to heritage in the Resource Management Act, Regional Policy Statement and an obvious lack of focus on non-Maori heritage.

### *Work done to date*

<b>#</b>	<b>Title / project name</b>	<b>Date</b>
<b>1</b>	Nga Taonga Tuku Iho o nga Tipuna mai nga Kuriawharei ki Otamarakau Maori (Cultural Heritage Report)	August 2003
<b>2</b>	Tangata Whenua Literature Review	January 2003
<b>3</b>	Marae Sightlines	December 2003
<b>4</b>	Cultural Heritage Strategy for Western Bay of Plenty	June 2009

### **Project goal**

To inform cultural heritage management approaches for the SmartGrowth partners.

### **Project Manager**

Antoine Coffin, Tu Pakari Advisor

### **Project Scope**

This project relates to cultural heritage resources within the Western Bay of Plenty but influences policy at a Bay of Plenty regional level. It includes identifying methods of implementation and gaps that may be appropriate across many partners and various methods.

A separate piece of work is being undertaken on the post 2041 urban growth areas. This involves a high level fatal flaws and constraints identification.

The project is intended to be completed by October 2012.

### **Project Inputs**

Cultural heritage is recognised in a number of statutes including the Resource Management Act 1991 (6e, 6f), Historic Places Act 1993, Local Government Act 2002, and other legislation. There is no national policy statement for cultural heritage however there has been two reviews of cultural heritage management and strong statements in the findings and recommendations of the Tauranga Moana Waitangi Tribunal.

The District Plans are a key regulatory tool in managing cultural heritage. There have been extensive reviews recently of the TCC and WBOPDC district plans and the RPS with regard to heritage.

It is expected there will be three phases of work:

Phase 1 – confirm gaps in cultural heritage management

Phase 2 – assessment and evaluation of approaches

Phase 3 - recommendations

### **Project Outputs**

- Stocktake of current information on resource and processes
- Use of cultural heritage strategy (CHS) annual report template
- Update on three council actions identified in CHS
- Scoping of cultural heritage management issues and practise to inform option 1 and 2 of the Issues and Discussion paper
- Liaison with cultural heritage forum

### **Methodology (breakdown of phases and key tasks)**

1. Confirm brief

2. Liaison with Bay of Plenty Regional Council heritage and partner council heritage policy staff
3. Stocktake of current information and processes
4. Background research and filling in annual report template
5. Workshop with CTWF IMG
6. Update to CTWF
7. Update on three council actions in CHS
8. Discussion on approaches in SmartGrowth Issues and Discussion paper
9. Presentation to IMG
10. Editing and update
11. Presentation to SGIC

### **Project Resources**

Antoine Coffin, Tu Pakari Advisor – author and facilitator

Combined Tangata Whenua Forum

CTWF IMG

Research Working Group

IMG

Bay of Plenty Regional Council heritage and partner council Maori policy unit staff

Identify any reference reports or databases that can be provided to the project

### **Consultation requirements**

*Research working group*

- Feedback on brief

*Combined Tangata Whenua Forum*

- Sign off for brief
- Feedback on final draft report

*CTWF IMG*

- Input into research
- Workshop

### **Timeframes**

Identify the milestones that are necessary in order to achieve the output in the timeframe agreed by the Research Working Group including work-shopping and signoff with SGIC.

Project inception meeting	June
Regular fortnightly project meetings	On-going

Draft report update	27 June
Workshop with CTWF IMG	25 July
Presentation of draft report to IMG	7 August
Presentation of draft report to SGIC	19 September
<i>Peer review completed - tbc</i>	
Presentation of findings to IMG	2 October
Presentation of findings to SGIC	21 Nov 2012