



Social Sector Forum Meeting

Agenda

Thursday 25th February 2016
Balcony Room Annex, Historic Village
11:30am – 2:00pm

11:30am start

1. Apologies (Adrienne Bruce), Minutes and Matters Arising (Paper A)

- 9A4 – Giving Voice Research Project Update – Rebecca Guilford
- 9A3 – Social Sector Inventory Project (SSIP)
- Project Overview (paper) – Karen S – Information
- Socialink Proposal (paper) – Sharon Hanna – Feedback and endorsement of proposal and framework.

12:45pm Lunch Break

Resume at 1:00pm

2. TECT Hub Update – Wayne Werder TECT (15 minutes)

3. General Business

- Richard Conlin visit 8th – 9th March 2016 – Karen S
- SHA's and the Social Sector – Carole G
- Social Infrastructure & Placemaking Action List (SIPMAL) (paper) – Karen S

4. SIPMAL Workshop (based in attached report) – Led by Carole G / Karen S

Finish 2:30pm

Upcoming SGIC Meetings & Workshops

SGIC 16th March 2016
<u>Meeting</u>
<u>Workshop</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">•
SGIC 20th April 2016
<u>Meeting</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none">•

Paper B

FORUM	What is the placemaking goal? Sociability, Uses & Activities, Access & Linkages, Comfort & Image (Choose 1)	What Social Infrastructure is most important to achieve this?	And the second most important?
Transport/Mobility Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Walkable neighbourhoods for good connectivity. • Public transport linked to neighbourhoods 		
Commercial Areas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pedestrian environments, “bumping spaces”. • Universal design on a hub around centres or communities. • Health hubs. 		
Cultural Buildings/Spaces.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to culture – heritage and memory, affordable, easy, specific to place. • Engaging, celebratory, diverse. 		
Recreation Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Invest equitably for all animals and abilities. • Access to clean natural water and safe neighbourhood green spaces for informal recreation and greenways. 		
Health	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trees in streets, commercial areas and reserves. • Safe homes, footpaths, cycleways, natural environment. • Universal design. • Health and well-being centres that are accessible and local. 		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fewer liquor and gambling outlets. 		
Digital Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Co-located, accessible plant and services. • Libraries as knowledge centres. 		
Housing and Neighbourhoods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ageing in place. • Neighbourhood connections that are more than geographical. • Variety of living spaces and price ranges. 		
Infrastructure for Resilience.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rules that empower local food production. • Lifelines for lifetime neighbourhoods. • Access to lifelong education 		

SIPAL –Forum Feedback Matrix

Paper A - Minutes from Previous Meeting

SmartGrowth Social Sector Forum Meeting

Thursday 12th November 2015

The School House, Historic Village

Present	Carole Gordon – SUPA NZ ; Sharon Hanna – SSIT; Mary Dillon – Welcome Bay Community Trust; Alan Neilsen – Disability Action Group; Kathy Webb – Alzheimer’s; Jo Gravit – Community Housing Trust; Rebecca MacKay - TCC; Eva Fenn - Multicultural Society of Tauranga; Peter Malcom – Closing the Gap; Beth Bowden; Michael Tucker – TCC, Shad Rolleston – Tu Pakari Advisor, Irene Walker - Karen Summerhays – SmartGrowth; Glenda Dufaur - SmartGrowth	
Apologies	Alan Withy, Theo Ursum – Volunteer WBOP, Mike Doyle – Age Concern Michelle Adams – Toi Te Ora; Lloyd Davies - Literacy BOP	
Previous minutes and matters arising	Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Previous Minutes Approved (Jo Gravit/ Sharon Hanna) • Carole expressed a need to reflect on where we sit within the framework within SmartGrowth and also provide an overview of new members. • Seeking to understand through the SPR process how we engage with the project teams in due course and also how do we get a collective view form the SSF to provide that input into the projects. • Reflected on the importance of speaking their view and how through the meeting minutes they are shared with governance.
Settlement Pattern Review	Discussion	<p>Michael expressed the importance of hearing your views and receiving your feedback as previously discussed. That feedback can determine how we roll through the projects.</p> <p>Keenan Road</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feasibility work underway. The topography is up and down and we need to understand how feasible it is to develop on the land. Infrastructure servicing modelling underway. Based on the report outputs there will need to be the start of discussions about any potential growth boundaries. <p>Te Tumu</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fatal Flaws analysis has been undertaken looking at the opportunities and constraints that could still make it work. • Work underway looking at what kind of density for residential could be achieved. • Consultants engaged to look at commercial area – what type would be best for the area. • Jo Gravit highlighted that it’s been identified through the Australian Study Tour that social infrastructure and amenities should be in place first – is this part of the current discussion? • Michael confirmed that the constraints work needs to be finished first. Next stage is the structure plan and

		<p>that is the time that we look at services and amenities at that stage. Those things are an early thought for that phase.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sea Level rise and tsunami both have been modelled incl. inundation. Half of the land has been identified under these models based on both past and future trends. Land Use response has been built into this. • Fatal Flaws work will be completed by mid-next year. <p>Compact City</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Martin Udale working on a review of City Provisions. Objective of this work is to investigate whether the provisions are in line with what we could achieve/need out of these zones. The draft received show that we have not got it right. The provisions are not going to allow a compact city on the current basis. Report will come back to this forum for further discussion. • Talking to the development community to understand why they are not building what is being demanded amongst the community including consideration of the ageing population. • Housing Preference work – there are a number of projects elsewhere that have looked at what kind of housing we will choose and aware that there is a demand for typologies that are not being offered. Keen to address this through the Review. • Are there any opportunities to make ‘rules’ to ensure that different typologies are built as part of each development. • Noted by the Forum that SHA legislation should have offered this. • Council can provide guidance to housing typologies only. • Forum agreed to put a paper together to highlight the need for this project to include provisions and guidance for different typologies to be introduced. Working Group (Beth Bowden & Peter Malcolm). Bring this paper back to SSF in February. • The Housing Preference workshop will happen in the New Year and SSF to have input into that workshop along with Tangata Whenua as the Maori population looks different to the other areas of the population. • The Forum raised Smiths Farm and the need for TCC to have vision for this land. They need to consider affordability along with good social infrastructure. Forum to submit to TCC on this consultation. Working Group: Mary Dillon, Jo Gravit, Becs Culliford & Carole Gordon put together a submission together.
	Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing Preferences /Typologies – paper to be put together to submit to the project team of the Compact City work ensuring that different typologies are part of future developments (Beth Bowden & Peter Malcolm) – this to be bought back to February meeting. • TCC to note the input into the Housing Preference Workshop by SSF. • SSF to submit to TCC on Smiths Farm (Mary Dillon, Jo Gravit, Becs Culliford & Carole Gordon)

Maori Demographics	Presentation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shad introduced the Action through the SmartGrowth strategy. It provides a snapshot as reflected from the 2013 census. • Report showed there is a high importance to living on their land. They wish to develop this and provide a cluster of services around them and where they build. • Noted that how Maori provide for their elderly is different than non-Maori. We don't have retirement villages, we have Kaumatua flats – they stay with the family. • Shad noted that a parallel report will be scoped in the New Year that will focus on <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Comparison from previous census data. ○ Does educational achievement data mask those Maori that leave school without qualifications but return to education later in life. ○ The data shows high proportion of Maori females are smokers – how does this apply to women of child bearing age, and are there ways of capitalising on the lower mail smoking rate to show leadership in this area. ○ Consideration of the productivity from the Maori community as opposed to focussing on the individual income by combining the census with economic survey data. ○ Comparative data from of the wider population from the census information. • This will come back to the forums next year.
Social Infrastructure & Placemaking Think Tank.	Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The workshop has been generally really positive received. All the inputs from the day are being gathered into a report which will be reported back at the SGIC 16th December where all are invited for morning tea at the conclusion of the meeting. • Happy to receive via email any feedback on barriers experienced attending the day.
9A3 – Effectiveness in Social Sector	Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sharon Hanna provided a paper on proposed collaboration process between Social Sector Innovation Trust & SmartGrowth to implement the SG Action 9A3. • Previously there has been a proposal bought to SSF and for multiple reasons these have not progressed. • SSIT are asking SSF for endorsement as project lead. The Trust will work with SG to support the project and SG will support the project lead. • SG to provide some resource and SSIT will submit the new proposal. • Note the Project Team – looking for 2 x SSF volunteers. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Irene Walker from Tangata Whenua to be included. ○ COBOP was suggested but their involvement is part of a larger conversation. • Questions around placement of information and upkeep – the spreadsheet tool is not a open source portal but can easily updated therefore the management of the data will likely sit with the a community organisation.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to ensure it is linked to the community, the community can access it and the information is relevant to them. • The Forum asked about SG resources for it to be continued to be funded. KS confirmed that this is part of the budget. It's seen as a community enabling process. <p>The Forum were asked to consider the recommendations. These are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That the Social Sector Forum affirms its endorsement of the Social Sector Innovation Trust as Supporting Agenda and "Project Lead" for Action 9A3. 2. That the Social Sector Forum endorses the Proposed Collaborative Process for Action 9A3 as outline in this paper. 3. That the Social Sector Forum endorses the Social Sector Innovation Trust collaboration with SmartGrowth to develop an appropriate Inventory Tool for 9A3. <p>These were all passed. Noted that Sharon Hanna in her capacity as Chair of the SSIT abstained from voting.</p> <p>Thank you for endorsement and efforts involved.</p> <p>KS showed the Forum an example of an inventory tool that will be the basis of the spreadsheet to record and report the data collected from the social sector.</p>
	Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SSIT & SG to work together to progress this action. Report back to SSF at next meeting on progress with the proposal.
General Business	Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Carole raised the need to delay the Social Sector Forum Survey again to be discussed in the New Year due to time constraints. • Super Diversity Report was recommended to the Forum. www.superdiversity.org/reports-01.html. • Membership will also be delayed till the New Year – if there are any suggestions please email or phone Carole. • Next Year's meeting dates discussed. Noted extra 30 minutes as we struggle to get through the agenda. This gives us time for lunch and discussion plus formal meeting. <p>The Chair took the opportunity to thank all the members for their time and investment in this forum and look forward to engaging in various issues within the Forum next year. All the best for the festive season and new Year. Next year we will have the opportunity to engage with key partners on various issues and I wish you all the</p>

		best for the coming festive season and year.
Closed 1:45		

Next Meeting: Thursday, 25th February 2016 11:30 – 2:00pm

OUTSTANDING ACTION POINTS

Social Sector Forum

Item No.	Meeting	Action
1	November	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Housing Preferences /Typologies – paper to be put together to submit to the project team of the Compact City work ensuring that different typologies are part of future developments (Beth Bowden & Peter Malcolm) – this to be brought back to February meeting.
2	November	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• TCC to note the input into the Housing Preference Workshop by SSF.•
3	November	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• SSF to submit to TCC on Smiths Farm (Mary Dillon, Jo Gravit, Becs Culliford & Carole Gordon)
4	November	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 9A3 - SSIT & SG to work together to progress this action. Report back to SSF at next meeting on progress with the proposal.