



## Strategic Partners Forum Meeting

### Agenda

8:00am – 10:00am, Tuesday, 8<sup>th</sup> March 2016

The School-House, Historic Village, 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Tauranga

Apologies – Phil Shoemack	Chair
Minutes and Matters Arising ( <i>Paper A</i> )	Chair
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SmartGrowth Organisational Update</li> </ul>	Bill Wasley
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update from Karen’s desk regarding all SmartGrowth actions underway</li> </ul>	Karen Summerhays
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Social Infrastructure &amp; Placemaking Action List (SIPAL) feedback session (Paper B)</li> </ul>	Karen Summerhays
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Well-beings Implementation Update: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 9A3 – Smart inventory</li> <li>• 9A4 – Giving Voices research project</li> <li>• Environment and Sustainability Forum</li> <li>• Other Forum news</li> <li>• Richard Conlin visit</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Karen Summerhays
<p><b>Other Matters</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This Year’s Calendar <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 10<sup>th</sup> May 2016</li> <li>○ 12<sup>th</sup> July 2016</li> <li>○ 13<sup>th</sup> September 2016</li> <li>○ 8<sup>th</sup> November 2016</li> <li>○ 21<sup>st</sup> December 2016 (<i>New Council Introduction</i>)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Chair

---

## Upcoming SGIC Meetings & Workshops (*See overleaf*)

<b>SGIC 16<sup>th</sup> March 2016</b>
--

<u>Meeting</u>
----------------

- |   |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• SPR Project Update</li><li>• 9A3 – Effectiveness in the Social Sector update</li><li>• Social Infrastructure and Placemaking Think Tank next steps</li><li>• Submissions to RMA, NPS, Productivity Commission</li><li>• Confirmation of further submission WBoPDC PC 72 Rangiuru</li><li>• Follow-up from February strategic thinking workshop</li><li>• Resource Law amendment Bill submission</li><li>• Confirmation of the Rangiuru PC 72 submission</li></ul> |
|---|

<b>SGIC 20<sup>th</sup> April 2016</b>
--

<u>Meeting</u>
----------------

- |   |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>•</li></ul> |
|---|

**SmartGrowth Strategic Partners Forum Meeting**  
**Tuesday, 10<sup>th</sup> November 2015 - 8:00am – 10:00am**  
**The School House, Historic Village**

<b>Present</b>	Bill Wasley (Chair), Mark Boyle (Te Puke Fast Forward), Paul Hickson (Te Puke EDG), Anne Pankhurst (PATAG), Jacqui Knight (Katch Katikati), Annie Hill (Priority One), John Garwood (Fruit Growers Association), Mary Dillon (Envirohub) , Fern Nielsen (National Council for Women), Phil Shoemack (Toi Te Ora - Public Health Service), Eddie Orsulich (Forrest & Bird), , Liz Davies (WBOPDC), Duncan Tindall (SmartGrowth), Karen Summerhays (SmartGrowth) Glenda Dufaur (SmartGrowth)	
<b>Apologies</b>	Jeff Fletcher (PDF), Sarah Davey (BOPDHB) Carole Gordon (SSF) Christine Ralph (HAF)	
<b>Previous minutes and matters arising</b>	Discussion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All actions progressed or on agenda today.</li> </ul>
<b>Settlement Pattern Review – Michael Tucker</b>	Discussion	<p>Michael Tucker, TCC provided an update on the projects being progressed under the SPR.</p> <p><b>Keenan Road/Pyes Pa/Western Corridor</b>  Feasibility Report has been delivered and now being peer reviewed. We are linking this with a wider Western Corridor and modelling being done with NZTA around land use/transport.  Whilst initial report on this corridor show there are some constraints we are working through these to assess if any fatal flaws. This Report will be going to our Technical Group for peer review. It will come through the Forums in the new year.</p> <p><b>Te Tumu</b>  Consultants RPS have been engaged by TCC and they are looking at commercial feasibility of growth in that area. Looking at what type of commercial activities are out there. Focus on Wairakei and the future Te Tumu, what densities and commercial centres could be achieved. Reports are starting to come in and there will be full consultation on these.</p> <p><b>Compact City</b>  This study is looking at the proportion of growth that can be accommodated within the urban area. Martin Udale has been engaged as consultant and initial report being sent through as heads up show that many of the zones and regulation provisions are not consistent with the SmartGrowth intensification targets. The provisions do not enable that to happen. He is suggesting a suite of things to better realise more intense house typologies. We are talking to him about how this could be met.  TCC has signalled future investment in these areas ie. Resources and/or consent officers fast track those developments. It was asked of MT whether Smiths Farm could be a test case for this and it was confirmed by MT that he does not see a problem with this, however the brief of the research was to</p>

		<p>investigate what we need to do to get intensification over the next 30 years. He has signalled there is greater demand for this type of living above and beyond what has been historically provided for. TCC come back in the New Year for discussions.</p> <p><b>Strategic Transport</b> Project Brief has changed a number of times and next month we hope to finalise this and now we have some funding in place for this. What is the link between bus services and the transport project. Confirmed with the forum that BOPRC is undertaking a complete review of public transport services. Clarification that Regional Council looks after public transport provision. Bus stops and facilities on local roads are managed by local councils with NZTA looking after state highways.</p> <p><b>General</b> Paul Hickson raised the productivity commission report on Land use for housing. Evident that there are tensions between what the community wants vs. what local councils offer. Along with intensification you often get more amenity but yes there are tensions but there are also opportunities. We absolutely acknowledge that some communities want to stay the same. Stats from COBOP show that there are 2,500 people on the social housing waiting list for 1 and 2 bedroom accommodation in the BOP with most requiring accommodation in the western BOP area. Surprising what the demand for that typology is and not clear whether that is because that is what they want or more what they afford. Asked for more details. LD raised the Harbour Coastal Erosion Policy - Living with the Changing Tides released by Western Bay District council – looking for feedback from the communities on rising sea levels and climate change. On the <a href="http://www.westernbay.govt.nz">www.westernbay.govt.nz</a>.</p>
	Action	<p>MT to return to Strategic Partners Forum in New Year when reports are released for discussion. KS to seek clarification on the social housing figures</p>
SGIC	Discussion	<p>SGIC Meeting &amp; Workshop Minutes and notes circulated for information</p> <p>Noted that the potential new forums terms of reference. We are still doing our due diligence to ensure that we are not duplicating. We do not want to make new groups for the sake of it.</p> <p>Karen advised that in relation to E&amp;S Forum we've had a meeting with the agencies involved in this sector. DoC, BOPRC, Envirohub – there is general consensus that there is a need. Paper being put together once due diligence completed. Envirohub would be the lead agency for most of the work from this Forum.</p>

	<p>For the Youth Forum we have had a number of agencies / social deliverers contact us and we are in early discussions on how this would look.</p>
<p><b>Social Infrastructure &amp; Placemaking Think Tank</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Karen asked the forum members both those who attended and those who did not give her some feedback on the day and / or barriers to attending. She felt it was a very intelligent, thought provoking day and it is now how we convert this input into actions to the SPR. Keen to talk with both ethnic communities and youth further as their representation was lacking on the day.</li> <li>• Noted by MB that the Sikh community would not attend this type of session as this is a working day and does not suit that part of the community.</li> <li>• PH noted the lack of representation from the East of the sub-region.</li> <li>• It was noted that this Think Tank was a great opportunity to talk directly with councillors and elected members who were there on the day.</li> <li>• JK confirmed that for a lot of people from Katikati they are unsure what SmartGrowth is about on a day to day basis. They will not come into the city if they don't understand.</li> <li>• Rural Forum may be a way of addressing the knowledge of SmartGrowth in those areas.</li> <li>• MT raised that this was a rare occasion of social infrastructure providers and council officers talking together. The SI providers want to be proactive and discuss early in the process. It is useful to hear their views.</li> <li>• A report will be taken to SGIC in December where all partner forums are invited. This will be observations of the material gathered with the aim to take to management and technical meetings and the forums next year to discuss how we can put together an action and implementation plan.</li> <li>• EO – outcomes need to be environmentally sustainable whatever the decisions are.</li> <li>• JK suggested that something like a breakfast session or open day around these outcomes would be welcome. It often appears and is felt that this is very Tauranga focussed. Good to explain what we are doing and what is happening.</li> <li>• FN concurred with JK and offered that transport is such an issue in Katikati that any type of consultation is better as this is a real issue and getting worse. “We’ve had a 10 year plan for the last 20 years.” DT advised that NZTA will be communicating more widely shortly on “rebooting” work on the corridor.</li> </ul>

	Action	<p>Invitation to SGIC Meeting and Morning Tea to be sent to hear SIPTT Draft Report.</p> <p>Discuss options for SmartGrowth to undertake some breakfasts/workshops out in the community ie. Katikati, Paengaroa etc.</p>
<b>SGIC Update</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Annie Hill provided an overview of the RGS. Overseen by Bay of Connections, the BOPR Regional Economic umbrella. Funding sources for each of the action plan sections have not been defined as of yet. MBIE will be at the implementation table and offering central government input and funding.</li> <li>• Confirmed the connections between SmartGrowth and Bay of Connections being BOPRC reps on both groups and P1 again on both groups.</li> <li>• EO raised that continuous growth has an endangering effect on the plant. Emphasis needs to be on providing sustainable solutions for regional growth. Consolidating what we have rather than expanding more and more.</li> <li>• AH identified that the purpose of the RGS is to help communities to maintain a sustainable community incl. jobs etc. How can that economy support those communities?</li> <li>• MB raised again the discussions they have had with NZTA and the fact that no outcome or response has been received from them to address the increasing problem of roading issues within Te Puke.</li> <li>• The Industry is growing and we need the local roads and access to highways to be able to accommodate this growth. Also housing for staff working within the Kiwifruit industry is a significant issue. People are living in camp like conditions. There is no capacity to deal with seasonal staff and the flow on effects from them such as transport and housing. A post-harvest working group has been established as it has gone past waiting for some intervention from the local authorities or NZTA – they have to deal with these issues themselves. TEL is not going to and has not solved the issue. We need the Regional Director to acknowledge the problem and work with us to get some resolutions.</li> </ul>
	Action	<p>Contact WBOPDC re issues around Te Puke. (SmartGrowth) <i>WBOPDC has agreed to attend first 2016 meeting to discuss directly.</i></p>
<b>30 Year Infrastructure Plan</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Duncan provided a similar presentation to that given to SGIC in October. He provided some background and also some actions out of the Plan.</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Noted the link to the NZCID work and Local Government Report on RMA reform.</li> <li>DT also referred to the newly announced Productivity Commission Inquiry into Urban Planning.</li> </ul> <p>JG raised that again they are not funding the railways. What are they doing? How do we get such inconsistencies in thinking between government – one wants to get rid of it whilst the others want to do things differently.</p> <p>DT confirmed that part of the review is for them to understand what they would need to invest in roading if they took rail away. The offset is something they will need to look at within the investment decisions. They understand they need a whole of government thinking rather than silos.</p> <p><i>Note: Feedback was received by an SPF member Eddie Orsulich after the meeting. This is attached.</i></p>
	Action	SmartGrowth to keep a watching brief on this and bring back to the Forum any outputs.
		Annie Hill to Chair as Bill Wasley needed to leave early.
<b>Other Matters</b>	Presentation	<p><b>Productivity Commission Inquiries</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two new Inquiries, one being Urban Planning as referenced above, the other into Tertiary Education.</li> <li>SmartGrowth will be looking at the issues paper and making submissions where appropriate.</li> <li>JG asked if we had made any submissions to government in relation to railways and the opportunity of using the railways for bulk freight. Other countries are investing in their railways and making them work for them. We should be thinking about this within our 50 year plans to avoid long term congestion.</li> <li>Annie confirmed that P1 is keen to open the conversation around commuter rail between Auckland and Hamilton</li> <li>Agreed we need to discuss the integrated transport strategy further. On the agenda for 2016 meeting.</li> </ul>
	Action	Integrated Transport Study on the agenda for next year.
<b>General</b>		Annie has been asked by Bill Wasley to thank the forum again this year for their continued commitment and input into SmartGrowth and the sub-region. He wished them a safe and happy festive season and look forward to seeing them in 2016.

Meeting ended at 10:00am. Next Meeting 8<sup>th</sup> March 2016. Historic Village Balcony Room Annex.

## Strategic Partners Forum OUTSTANDING ACTIONS 10<sup>th</sup> November 2015

1.	November	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• MT to return to Strategic Partners Forum in New Year when reports are released for discussion.</li> </ul>
2.	November	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Invitation to SGIC Meeting and Morning Tea to be sent to hear SIPTT Draft Report.</li> </ul>
3.	November	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Discuss options for SmartGrowth to undertake some breakfasts/workshops out in the community ie. Katikati, Paengaroa etc. in the New Year.</li> </ul>
4.	November	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Contact WBOPDC re issues around Te Puke. (SmartGrowth) <i>WBOPDC has agreed to attend first 2016 meeting to discuss directly.</i></li> </ul>
5.	November	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SmartGrowth to keep a watching brief on this and bring back to the Forum any outputs.</li> </ul>
6.	November	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Integrated Transport Study on the agenda for next year.</li> </ul>
7.	November	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Karen to provide clarification regarding social housing waiting lists.</li> </ul>